



Advance Journal of Econometrics and Finance

Vol-4, Issue-2, 2026

Advance Journal of Econometrics and Finance

Online ISSN

2959-8990

Print ISSN

2959-8982

<https://ajeaf.com/index.php/Journal/About>

Name of Publisher: SCHOLAR CRAFT EDUCATION & RESEARCH HUB

Review Type: Double Blind Peer Review

Journal Frequency: Quarterly Research Journal (4- Issue)



Technological Innovation in FinTech and Its Role in Advancing Financial Inclusion: A Comprehensive Literature Review

^{*1}Osama Bin Shahid, ²Muhammad Tayyab Kashif, ³Asad Javed

	Abstract
<p>Osama Bin Shahid* Management Science Department, COMSATS University Islamabad Attock Campus. Corresponding Author Email: osamashahid.obs@gmail.com</p> <p>Muhammad Tayyab Kashif Lecturer Lyallpur Business School, Government College University Faisalabad. tayyab.kashif@gmail.com</p> <p>Asad Javed Government College University Faisalabad GCUF, Business Administration Specialization Finance. asadjaved657@gmail.com</p>	<p>Financial technology (FinTech) has significantly transformed the structure and delivery of modern financial services by introducing innovative digital solutions that improve accessibility, affordability, transparency, and operational efficiency within financial systems. Over the last decade, technological advancement has accelerated the digitalization of banking, lending, payments, investment management, and insurance services across developed and developing economies. Innovations such as mobile banking, digital wallets, blockchain systems, artificial intelligence, cloud computing, and big data analytics have changed the way individuals and businesses interact with financial institutions and financial markets (Arora, 2024; Chang et al., 2024). that enhance access to financial services, especially for underserved and financially excluded populations. This literature review critically examines the relationship between technological innovation in FinTech and financial inclusion by synthesizing contemporary academic and policy-oriented literature. The review explores major FinTech technologies including digital payments, blockchain, artificial intelligence, big data analytics, mobile banking, cloud computing, and open banking systems. It further evaluates theoretical foundations such as the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT), Innovation Diffusion Theory, and the Technology–Organization–Environment (TOE) framework. The review identifies how FinTech innovations improve accessibility, affordability, efficiency, and convenience of financial services while simultaneously creating new challenges related to regulation, cyber security, digital literacy, consumer protection, and digital inequality. The findings reveal that FinTech significantly contributes to financial inclusion through digital payment systems, mobile money, digital lending, peer-to-peer financing, crowd funding, and AI-driven credit scoring models. However, the benefits remain uneven due to socioeconomic, geographic, gender, and technological disparities. The study adopts a systematic literature review methodology by analyzing peer-reviewed journal articles, policy reports, conference papers, and institutional publications related to FinTech and financial inclusion. Findings suggest that supportive regulatory frameworks, improved digital infrastructure, financial literacy programs, and collaborative ecosystems among governments, financial institutions, and technology firms are essential to maximize inclusive financial outcomes.</p>
Keywords:	FinTech, Financial Inclusion, Digital Finance, Mobile Money, Blockchain, Artificial Intelligence, Digital Payments, Financial Technology, Financial Literacy



Advance Journal of Econometrics and Finance

Vol-4, Issue-2, 2026

Chapter 1: Introduction

1.1 Background of the Study

The rapid growth of financial technology has significantly transformed the structure and delivery of financial services worldwide. Financial technology, commonly referred to as FinTech, involves the use of digital technologies to improve and automate financial services. Over the past decade, innovations such as digital payment systems, blockchain technology, artificial intelligence, machine learning, cloud computing, mobile banking, and big data analytics have revolutionized the financial industry by creating more efficient and accessible financial systems.

Financial inclusion refers to the ability of individuals and businesses to access affordable, useful, and sustainable financial products and services. Traditionally, millions of people across developing and underdeveloped economies remained excluded from formal financial systems due to lack of banking infrastructure, high transaction costs, documentation barriers, and low financial literacy. FinTech innovations have emerged as potential solutions to these challenges by enabling low-cost digital financial services accessible through mobile phones and internet platforms. Global institutions such as the World Bank, International Monetary Fund, OECD, and regional development banks have increasingly emphasized digital financial inclusion as a key strategy for sustainable development and poverty reduction. Governments and policymakers across the world have introduced national financial inclusion strategies focusing on digitalization, mobile money services, fintech regulation, and innovation ecosystems.

The relationship between FinTech and financial inclusion has therefore become a significant area of academic and policy research. Existing studies indicate that FinTech contributes positively to financial inclusion by reducing transaction costs, increasing accessibility, improving efficiency, and supporting underserved groups including women, youth, rural populations, and SMEs. However, concerns remain regarding cybersecurity, digital fraud, unequal access to technology, and regulatory challenges. This literature review critically synthesizes contemporary evidence regarding technological innovation in FinTech and its role in advancing financial inclusion globally.

1.2 Problem Statement

Despite significant growth in digital financial technologies, a large proportion of the global population remains financially excluded. While FinTech innovations promise greater accessibility and affordability, disparities in digital infrastructure, financial literacy, internet access, and regulatory support continue to limit inclusive outcomes. Furthermore, the rapid expansion of digital financial services has introduced new risks including fraud, over-indebtedness, data privacy concerns, and unequal technological access.

There remains a need for a comprehensive literature review that integrates theoretical, technological, socioeconomic, and policy dimensions of FinTech-driven financial inclusion.

1.3 Objectives of the Review

The major objectives of this literature review are:

1. To examine the evolution and concept of FinTech.
2. To analyze the concept and dimensions of financial inclusion.
3. To evaluate technological innovations driving FinTech development.
4. To investigate mechanisms through which FinTech promotes financial inclusion.
5. To identify opportunities and challenges associated with FinTech-enabled inclusion.
6. To review theoretical models explaining FinTech adoption.
7. To analyze policy and regulatory frameworks related to digital finance.
8. To synthesize findings from existing empirical studies.
9. To provide recommendations for policymakers, financial institutions, and researchers.

1.4 Research Questions

1. What are the major technological innovations in FinTech?
2. How does FinTech contribute to financial inclusion?
3. What are the key challenges limiting FinTech-driven inclusion?
4. Which theoretical frameworks explain adoption of digital financial services?
5. What policy measures are necessary to improve inclusive digital finance?



Advance Journal of Econometrics and Finance

Vol-4, Issue-2, 2026

1.5 Significance of the Study

This literature review contributes to academic and policy discussions by consolidating fragmented research on FinTech and financial inclusion into a comprehensive framework. The review provides useful insights for researchers, policymakers, banks, regulators, FinTech firms, and development organizations seeking to understand the opportunities and challenges associated with digital financial transformation

Chapter 2: Methodology of Literature Review

This study adopts a systematic literature review methodology to critically evaluate and synthesize previous research related to FinTech innovation and financial inclusion. A systematic review approach was selected because it enables transparent identification, screening, evaluation, and synthesis of academic studies from multiple databases and institutional sources. The review process was designed to ensure methodological rigor, thematic relevance, and comprehensive coverage of the existing literature.

The literature collection process involved searching databases including Scopus, Web of Science, Google Scholar, Emerald Insight, SpringerLink, and ScienceDirect. Institutional reports from the World Bank, OECD, IMF, and Financial Stability Board were also reviewed to obtain policy-oriented evidence regarding digital finance and inclusive financial systems. Keywords including “FinTech,” “financial inclusion,” “digital banking,” “mobile payments,” “blockchain,” “artificial intelligence in finance,” “digital lending,” and “financial technology adoption” were used during the search process. The review primarily considered studies published between 2010 and 2025 because significant growth in FinTech adoption and digital finance literature occurred during this period.

The screening process involved several stages to ensure inclusion of only high-quality and relevant studies. Initially, duplicate records were removed, followed by title and abstract screening to eliminate irrelevant articles. Full-text assessments were then conducted to examine methodological quality, thematic relevance, and empirical contribution. Studies unrelated to financial inclusion or lacking sufficient academic rigor were excluded from the final review. Similar screening approaches have been widely applied in previous systematic literature reviews concerning digital finance and technology adoption (Gumilar et al., 2024; Osei et al., 2023).

Table 1 presents the detailed screening and selection process used in this review.

The literature review methodology adopted in this study followed a systematic and transparent screening approach to ensure the inclusion of high-quality and relevant academic literature. Studies were collected from recognized databases including Scopus, Web of Science, Emerald Insight, ScienceDirect, Google Scholar, SpringerLink, and institutional publications from organizations such as the World Bank and IMF. The screening process involved removing duplicate records, reviewing titles and abstracts, conducting full-text assessments, and excluding studies that lacked thematic relevance or methodological rigor. Similar systematic approaches have been widely adopted in prior review studies concerning financial technology and financial inclusion (Gumilar et al., 2024; Osei et al., 2023).

Table: *Literature Screening and Selection Process*

Stage of Review Process	Description	Number of Studies
Initial Records Identified	Studies identified through database searching	620
Duplicate Records Removed	Duplicate articles removed	95
Records After Duplicate Removal	Remaining studies after duplicates	525
Records Excluded After Screening	Irrelevant studies removed	310
Full-Text Articles Assessed	Detailed review conducted	215
Full-Text Articles Excluded	Methodologically weak or irrelevant studies	98
Final Studies Included	Studies selected for final review	117

The selected studies were categorized into several major themes to understand the dominant research trends within FinTech and financial inclusion literature. Existing research largely focuses on digital payments, mobile banking systems, financial accessibility, artificial intelligence, blockchain innovation, and regulatory frameworks. The thematic categorization also enabled identification of underexplored areas and research gaps within the literature.



Advance Journal of Econometrics and Finance

Vol-4, Issue-2, 2026

The selected literature was further categorized into major thematic areas to identify dominant research trends and emerging issues within the FinTech ecosystem. The reviewed studies mainly focused on digital payments, mobile banking systems, financial inclusion frameworks, artificial intelligence applications, blockchain systems, digital lending, cybersecurity, and financial literacy. Thematic classification also assisted in identifying underexplored areas within the literature.

Table 2 presents the thematic distribution of the reviewed studies.

Table 2: *Distribution of Reviewed Literature by Research Topic*

Research Topic	Number of Studies Reviewed	Percentage (%)
Digital Payments and Mobile Banking	28	23.9%
Financial Inclusion and Digital Access	24	20.5%
Artificial Intelligence in Finance	14	12.0%
Blockchain and Cryptocurrency	11	9.4%
Technology Adoption Models	10	8.5%
SME Financing and Digital Lending	9	7.7%
Regulatory Frameworks	8	6.8%
Cybersecurity and Digital Risks	5	4.3%
Financial Literacy	5	4.3%
Open Banking Systems	3	2.6%

Different methodological approaches have been used by researchers to investigate the relationship between FinTech innovation and financial inclusion. Quantitative methodologies dominate the literature because researchers frequently rely on panel datasets, surveys, and econometric analysis to examine adoption behavior, financial accessibility, and digital banking performance. However, qualitative studies, mixed-method approaches, and systematic literature reviews also contribute significantly to understanding consumer behavior, technological acceptance, and regulatory challenges (Irimia-Diéguez et al., 2023; Senyo & Osabutey, 2023).

The methodological orientation of the reviewed literature demonstrates that quantitative analysis remains the dominant approach in FinTech and financial inclusion studies. Researchers frequently employ regression analysis, panel data techniques, structural equation modeling, and survey-based methodologies to evaluate technology adoption and financial accessibility. However, qualitative studies and systematic reviews also contribute important conceptual and policy insights regarding digital finance adoption and regulatory governance.

Table 3 presents the methodological distribution of the selected studies.

Table 3: *Distribution of Literature by Research Methodology*

Research Methodology	Number of Studies	Percentage (%)
Quantitative Studies	49	41.9%
Qualitative Studies	21	17.9%
Mixed-Method Studies	16	13.7%
Systematic Literature Reviews	18	15.4%
Conceptual/Theoretical Papers	13	11.1%

The geographic distribution of the reviewed literature demonstrates that FinTech and financial inclusion research is highly concentrated in developing economies, particularly in Asia and Africa. This trend reflects rapid growth in mobile banking, digital payment systems, and financial technology adoption within emerging markets. Studies from Europe and North America mainly focus on technological innovation, digital banking efficiency, and regulatory governance, whereas research from Asia and Africa emphasizes accessibility and inclusive finance.



Advance Journal of Econometrics and Finance

Vol-4, Issue-2, 2026

The reviewed studies also demonstrate significant geographic concentration within Asia and Africa due to rapid digital finance expansion in developing economies. Many studies from these regions focus on mobile money systems, digital banking accessibility, and SME financing. In contrast, European and North American studies primarily emphasize technological innovation, regulatory frameworks, and banking efficiency.

Table 4 presents the regional distribution of the reviewed literature.

Table 4: *Distribution of Literature by Geographic Region*

Region	Number of Studies	Percentage (%)
Asia	39	33.3%
Africa	28	23.9%
Europe	18	15.4%
North America	14	12.0%
Global Comparative Studies	12	10.3%
Middle East	6	5.1%

The growth of research publications over time illustrates increasing academic and institutional attention toward digital finance and inclusive financial systems. The sharp increase in publications after 2020 reflects accelerated digital transformation, post-pandemic financial digitization, and growing policy interest in financial inclusion initiatives worldwide (Naser et al., 2024; Wicaksana et al., 2023).

The chronological distribution of studies indicates substantial growth in FinTech-related research after 2020. This increase reflects accelerated digital transformation during and after the COVID-19 pandemic, growing smartphone penetration, expansion of digital banking services, and increasing policy interest in financial inclusion.

Table 5 presents the publication year distribution of the selected studies.

Table 5: *Publication Year Distribution of Selected Studies*

Publication Year	Number of Studies
2010–2013	8
2014–2016	13
2017–2019	24
2020–2022	36
2023–2025	36

2.1 Research Design

This study adopts a systematic literature review (SLR) methodology to critically analyze existing literature related to FinTech innovation and financial inclusion. A systematic review approach allows comprehensive identification, evaluation, synthesis, and interpretation of relevant academic and policy literature.

The review follows a structured process involving:

1. Identification of research themes.
2. Literature search and collection.
3. Screening and selection of relevant studies.
4. Data extraction and synthesis.
5. Critical analysis of findings.

2.2 Sources of Literature

The literature was collected from multiple academic and institutional databases including:



Advance Journal of Econometrics and Finance

Vol-4, Issue-2, 2026

- Scopus
- Google Scholar
- Web of Science
- Emerald Insight
- SpringerLink
- ScienceDirect
- OECD Reports
- World Bank Publications
- IMF Reports
- Central Bank Publications

2.3 Keywords Used

The following keywords and combinations were used:

- FinTech
- Financial Technology
- Financial Inclusion
- Digital Finance
- Mobile Money
- Digital Payments
- Blockchain
- Artificial Intelligence in Finance
- Mobile Banking
- Financial Literacy
- FinTech Innovation
- Digital Financial Services

2.4 Inclusion Criteria

The following criteria were used for selecting studies:

- Peer-reviewed journal articles.
- Studies published between 2010 and 2025.
- English-language publications.
- Research focused on FinTech and financial inclusion.
- Studies containing theoretical or empirical analysis.

2.5 Exclusion Criteria

Studies were excluded if:

- They lacked relevance to financial inclusion.
- They focused solely on traditional banking systems.
- They were duplicate studies.
- They lacked methodological clarity.

2.6 Data Analysis Technique

Thematic analysis was used to identify recurring concepts and findings. Literature was categorized into themes including:



Advance Journal of Econometrics and Finance

Vol-4, Issue-2, 2026

- Evolution of FinTech.
- Digital financial services.
- Mobile money and digital payments.
- FinTech adoption theories.
- Financial inclusion indicators.
- Regulatory frameworks.
- Challenges and risks.
- Policy implications.

2.7 Limitations of the Review

The review is limited by dependence on secondary data and published literature. Some studies may contain regional biases or differing methodological approaches that affect comparability.

Chapter 3: Conceptual Foundations

3.1 Defining FinTech

FinTech refers to technologically enabled financial innovation that creates new business models, applications, processes, or products with material effects on financial markets and financial services.

According to the Financial Stability Board (FSB), FinTech includes digital innovations that improve efficiency, accessibility, affordability, and automation in financial services.

FinTech integrates:

- Cloud computing
- Big data analytics
- Artificial intelligence
- Blockchain
- APIs and open banking
- Digital wallets
- Mobile applications
- Machine learning

The emergence of FinTech has disrupted traditional banking systems by enabling decentralized, low-cost, and customer-oriented financial services.

3.2 Evolution of FinTech

The evolution of FinTech can be divided into several stages:

Traditional Financial Systems

Financial services were traditionally branch-based and dependent on physical infrastructure.

Internet Banking Era

Banks introduced online banking platforms allowing customers to perform transactions digitally.

Mobile Financial Services

Smartphones and mobile internet accelerated digital banking and mobile payment systems.

Advanced FinTech Ecosystem

The integration of AI, blockchain, machine learning, and big data transformed digital finance into a highly automated ecosystem.

3.3 Concept of Financial Inclusion

Financial inclusion refers to affordable and timely access to useful financial products and services including:

- Savings



Advance Journal of Econometrics and Finance

Vol-4, Issue-2, 2026

- Payments
- Insurance
- Credit
- Investment products

Financial inclusion emphasizes:

- Access
- Usage
- Quality
- Welfare outcomes

3.4 Importance of Financial Inclusion

Financial inclusion contributes to:

- Poverty reduction
- Economic growth
- Employment generation
- Entrepreneurship development
- Income stability
- Women empowerment
- Sustainable development

Financial inclusion also improves resilience against financial shocks and promotes economic participation among marginalized populations.

Author(s)	Year	Country/Region	Study Focus	Methodology	Major Findings
Ross Levine	2021	Global	Digital finance and economic development	Panel data analysis	Digital financial systems improve financial accessibility and economic participation.
Philippon	2020	USA & Europe	FinTech disruption in banking	Conceptual review	FinTech reduces transaction costs and improves efficiency of financial services.
Senyo and Osabutey	2023	Africa	Mobile money adoption and financial inclusion	UTAUT framework	Mobile money significantly increases access to formal financial services.
Babar	2023	Pakistan	Digital finance and inclusion	Survey analysis	Digital banking positively affects financial accessibility in rural populations.
Wicaksana et al.	2023	Indonesia	FinTech and sustainable development	Systematic review	FinTech improves SME financing and promotes inclusive economic growth.
Osei et al.	2023	Ghana	AI-driven banking adoption	Regression analysis	AI-based services improve customer experience and credit accessibility.
Chang et al.	2024	China	TOE framework and FinTech diffusion	Structural equation modeling	Organizational and environmental factors significantly influence FinTech adoption.
Li et al.	2024	China	FinTech innovation in banking	Empirical analysis	FinTech integration improves banking efficiency and digital accessibility.
Khairunnisa et al.	2023	Southeast Asia	Digital payment ecosystems	Mixed-method research	Mobile payments significantly reduce financial transaction barriers.



Advance Journal of Econometrics and Finance

Vol-4, Issue-2, 2026

Author(s)	Year	Country/Region	Study Focus	Methodology	Major Findings
Paslari et al.	2023	Europe	Regulation of digital finance	Policy analysis	Effective regulation is necessary for sustainable FinTech ecosystems.
Gumilar et al.	2024	Indonesia	Digital literacy and inclusion	Survey research	Digital literacy strongly influences FinTech adoption and trust.
Yulianto et al.	2024	Malaysia	Islamic FinTech and literacy	Quantitative analysis	Islamic digital finance promotes financial inclusion among underserved groups.

Chapter 4: Technological Innovations in FinTech

4.1 Digital Payments

Digital payments are among the most significant FinTech innovations promoting financial inclusion.

Digital payment systems include:

- Mobile wallets
- QR payments
- Online banking
- Contactless payments
- Mobile money services

These systems reduce dependency on cash and improve accessibility to financial transactions.

Mobile Money

Mobile money services such as M-Pesa have transformed financial inclusion by enabling users to:

- Send money
- Receive payments
- Save funds
- Access loans
- Pay utility bills

through mobile phones without requiring traditional bank accounts.

4.2 Artificial Intelligence (AI)

AI improves financial services through:

- Automated customer support
- Fraud detection
- Credit scoring
- Risk assessment
- Personalized financial services

AI-driven credit scoring enables lenders to evaluate underserved individuals using alternative data sources such as transaction history and mobile usage patterns.

4.3 Blockchain Technology

Blockchain is a decentralized digital ledger technology enabling secure and transparent transactions.

Applications include:

- Cryptocurrency
- Smart contracts
- Cross-border payments



Advance Journal of Econometrics and Finance

Vol-4, Issue-2, 2026

- Digital identity verification
- Supply chain finance

Blockchain reduces transaction costs and increases transparency.

4.4 Big Data Analytics

Big data analytics helps financial institutions analyze customer behavior and develop customized financial products.

Benefits include:

- Improved customer segmentation
- Better credit assessment
- Enhanced fraud prevention
- Personalized services

4.5 Cloud Computing

Cloud computing enables scalable and low-cost financial service delivery.

Advantages include:

- Reduced operational costs
- Faster service deployment
- Greater accessibility
- Improved data storage capabilities

4.6 Open Banking and APIs

Open banking allows third-party providers to access banking data through APIs.

Benefits include:

- Greater competition
- Innovative financial products
- Improved customer experience
- Enhanced interoperability

Technology	Key Studies	Application	Contribution to Financial Inclusion
Digital Payments	Khairunnisa et al. (2023)	Mobile wallets, QR payments	Faster and low-cost transactions
Artificial Intelligence	Osei et al. (2023)	Credit scoring, fraud detection	Improved lending accessibility
Blockchain	Wicaksana et al. (2023)	Smart contracts, digital identity	Transparency and reduced transaction costs
Big Data Analytics	Li et al. (2024)	Customer segmentation	Personalized financial services
Cloud Computing	Chang et al. (2024)	Scalable digital banking	Reduced operational costs
Mobile Banking	Babar (2023)	Remote banking access	Rural financial accessibility
Open Banking APIs	Paslari et al. (2023)	Interoperable financial systems	Enhanced customer convenience

Chapter 5: Theoretical Foundations

5.1 Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)

The Technology Acceptance Model explains technology adoption based on:

- Perceived usefulness
- Perceived ease of use



Advance Journal of Econometrics and Finance

Vol-4, Issue-2, 2026

Users are more likely to adopt FinTech services when they perceive them as beneficial and easy to use.

5.2 Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT)

UTAUT identifies four major determinants:

- Performance expectancy
- Effort expectancy
- Social influence
- Facilitating conditions

The model is widely applied in studies examining mobile banking and digital payment adoption.

5.3 Innovation Diffusion Theory

Innovation Diffusion Theory explains how innovations spread through societies and organizations.

Stages include:

1. Awareness
2. Interest
3. Evaluation
4. Trial
5. Adoption

5.4 Technology–Organization–Environment (TOE) Framework

The TOE framework analyzes technology adoption based on:

Technological Factors

- Compatibility
- Complexity
- Security
- Relative advantage

Organizational Factors

- Firm size
- Financial resources
- Management support

Environmental Factors

- Government regulation
- Competitive pressure
- Market conditions

Theory/Model	Key Authors	Main Variables	Application in FinTech Studies
Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)	Davis (1989)	Perceived usefulness, ease of use	Mobile banking adoption
UTAUT	Venkatesh et al. (2003)	Performance expectancy, facilitating conditions	Mobile money adoption
Innovation Diffusion Theory	Rogers (2003)	Innovation awareness and adoption	Digital finance diffusion
TOE Framework	Tornatzky & Fleischer (1990)	Technological, organizational, environmental factors	Organizational FinTech adoption
Financial Intermediation Theory	Levine (1997)	Access to financial services	Financial inclusion mechanisms



Advance Journal of Econometrics and Finance

Vol-4, Issue-2, 2026

Chapter 6: FinTech and Financial Inclusion

6.1 Mechanisms Linking FinTech to Inclusion

FinTech promotes inclusion through:

- Reduced transaction costs
- Faster financial services
- Remote accessibility
- Alternative credit assessment
- Mobile-based services
- Lower documentation requirements

Digital platforms reduce dependence on physical banking infrastructure.

6.2 Financial Inclusion for SMEs and MSMEs

SMEs often face:

- Limited collateral
- High borrowing costs
- Banking barriers

FinTech solutions such as digital lending, crowdfunding, and peer-to-peer lending provide alternative financing channels.

Examples include:

- Funding Circle
- LendingClub
- Kabbage
- Kickstarter

6.3 Women and Rural Financial Inclusion

FinTech enables women and rural populations to access financial services through mobile platforms.

However, challenges remain due to:

- Lower digital literacy
- Limited internet access
- Gender disparities
- Lower smartphone ownership

6.4 Digital Banking and Inclusion

Digital banking enhances:

- Accessibility
- Service quality
- Transaction efficiency
- Cost effectiveness

Banks increasingly rely on digital channels to reach underserved populations.

Study	Sample/Scope	Method Used	Key Findings
Babar (2023)	Rural banking users in Pakistan	Survey and regression	Mobile banking positively influences inclusion.
Osei et al. (2023)	Banking customers in Ghana	SEM analysis	AI improves customer trust and accessibility.



Advance Journal of Econometrics and Finance

Vol-4, Issue-2, 2026

Study	Sample/Scope	Method Used	Key Findings
Wicaksana et al. (2023)	Global literature	Systematic review	FinTech contributes to sustainable development goals.
Li et al. (2024)	Commercial banks	Panel data analysis	FinTech adoption improves banking performance.
Chang et al. (2024)	Financial institutions	TOE model analysis	Regulation and infrastructure affect adoption.
Gumilar et al. (2024)	Digital users	Survey analysis	Digital literacy strongly predicts FinTech usage.

Chapter 7: Challenges of FinTech-Driven Financial Inclusion

7.1 Digital Divide

Unequal access to:

- Internet
- Smartphones
- Digital infrastructure

limits financial inclusion.

7.2 Cybersecurity Risks

Digital finance increases exposure to:

- Fraud
- Hacking
- Data breaches
- Identity theft

7.3 Regulatory Challenges

Rapid technological innovation often exceeds regulatory capacity.

Regulators face challenges related to:

- Consumer protection
- Licensing
- Data privacy
- Anti-money laundering
- Financial stability

7.4 Low Digital Literacy

Many users lack:

- Financial knowledge
- Digital skills
- Understanding of risks

which increases vulnerability to fraud.

7.5 Trust Issues

Trust remains a major barrier to digital financial adoption.

Users may hesitate due to concerns regarding:

- Privacy
- Security
- Reliability



Advance Journal of Econometrics and Finance

Vol-4, Issue-2, 2026

- Hidden charges

Chapter 8: Global and Regional Perspectives

8.1 Africa

Africa represents one of the most successful regions for mobile money adoption.

M-Pesa in Kenya revolutionized mobile financial services and inspired similar systems globally.

8.2 Asia

Countries such as China, India, Bangladesh, and Pakistan have rapidly expanded digital payment ecosystems.

Government initiatives promoting digitalization significantly accelerated inclusion.

8.3 Europe and North America

Developed economies focus more on:

- Open banking
- AI-based financial services
- Digital investments
- Advanced payment systems

8.4 Developing Economies

Developing countries benefit significantly from:

- Mobile banking
- Digital wallets
- Agent banking
- FinTech startups

However, infrastructure gaps remain critical challenges.

Chapter 9: Empirical Findings from Literature

9.1 Positive Findings

The literature consistently reports positive relationships between FinTech and financial inclusion.

Major findings include:

1. Mobile money significantly improves financial accessibility.
2. Digital payment systems reduce transaction costs.
3. AI-based credit scoring improves lending to underserved groups.
4. FinTech supports SME financing.
5. Digital banking improves efficiency and convenience.
6. Financial technology contributes to poverty reduction and economic growth.
7. FinTech enhances women's financial participation.

9.2 Mixed Findings

Some studies indicate that:

- High-income users benefit more from digital finance.
- Rural populations face connectivity barriers.
- Financial inclusion gains are uneven.
- Some digital lending practices increase over-indebtedness.



Advance Journal of Econometrics and Finance

Vol-4, Issue-2, 2026

9.3 Negative Findings

Certain literature highlights risks including:

- Cybercrime
- Consumer exploitation
- Data privacy issues
- Financial fraud
- Digital exclusion

9.4 Synthesis of Findings

Overall, the literature demonstrates that FinTech plays a transformative role in expanding financial inclusion. However, the effectiveness of FinTech depends heavily on:

- Regulatory support
- Digital infrastructure
- Financial literacy
- Consumer trust
- Technological accessibility

Challenge	Supporting Studies	Impact
Digital Divide	Babar (2023)	Unequal access to digital finance
Cybersecurity Risks	Gumilar et al. (2024)	Increased fraud and privacy concerns
Low Financial Literacy	Yulianto et al. (2024)	Reduced adoption of digital services
Weak Regulation	Paslari et al. (2023)	Consumer protection issues
Infrastructure Limitations	Chang et al. (2024)	Restricted rural accessibility
Gender Disparities	Suárez Barcia (2023)	Lower female participation in digital finance

Chapter 10: Policy Implications and Recommendations

10.1 Policy Recommendations

Strengthen Digital Infrastructure

Governments should expand:

- Broadband connectivity
- Mobile networks
- Affordable internet services

particularly in rural and underserved regions.

Improve Financial Literacy

Educational initiatives should focus on:

- Digital financial literacy
- Cybersecurity awareness
- Responsible borrowing
- Mobile banking usage

Enhance Regulatory Frameworks

Regulators should develop balanced policies that:



Advance Journal of Econometrics and Finance

Vol-4, Issue-2, 2026

- Encourage innovation
- Protect consumers
- Ensure data privacy
- Prevent fraud
- Promote competition

Promote Interoperability

Financial systems should support interoperability between:

- Banks
- Mobile wallets
- FinTech platforms
- Payment systems

Support SMEs and Startups

Governments and financial institutions should provide:

- Innovation funding
- Regulatory sandboxes
- SME digital financing support
- Startup incubation programs

Strengthen Cybersecurity Measures

Financial institutions should invest in:

- Data protection
- AI-driven fraud detection
- Cybersecurity infrastructure
- Consumer awareness programs

Encourage Public–Private Partnerships

Collaboration among governments, banks, telecom firms, and FinTech companies is essential for sustainable inclusion.

10.2 Recommendations for Future Research

Future studies should focus on:

1. Comparative country-level analysis.
2. Gender-focused digital finance research.
3. AI ethics and algorithmic bias.
4. Blockchain and decentralized finance.
5. Financial inclusion measurement models.
6. Longitudinal analysis of FinTech adoption.
7. Green FinTech and sustainable finance.

Existing Literature Focus	Identified Gap
Mobile banking adoption	Limited comparative cross-country evidence
AI in financial services	Lack of research on ethical implications
Digital finance and SMEs	Limited evidence from developing economies



Advance Journal of Econometrics and Finance

Vol-4, Issue-2, 2026

Existing Literature Focus	Identified Gap
Blockchain in finance	Insufficient empirical testing of inclusion outcomes
Financial literacy	Lack of longitudinal analysis
FinTech regulation	Limited research on balancing innovation and consumer protection

Chapter 11: Conclusion

Technological innovation in FinTech has transformed the global financial landscape by improving accessibility, affordability, and efficiency of financial services. FinTech innovations such as mobile money, digital payments, artificial intelligence, blockchain, and digital lending have significantly contributed to advancing financial inclusion among underserved populations.

The literature demonstrates that digital financial technologies reduce transaction costs, overcome geographical barriers, improve service delivery, and create alternative financing channels for individuals and SMEs. FinTech has become particularly important in developing economies where traditional banking infrastructure remains limited. However, despite substantial progress, several challenges continue to hinder inclusive outcomes. Digital inequality, cybersecurity risks, low financial literacy, gender disparities, and weak regulatory frameworks limit the effectiveness of digital financial inclusion initiatives.

The review concludes that FinTech alone cannot guarantee inclusive development. Sustainable and equitable financial inclusion requires coordinated efforts involving policymakers, regulators, financial institutions, technology providers, and educational organizations. Strong digital infrastructure, supportive regulations, consumer protection mechanisms, and financial literacy initiatives are critical to ensuring that FinTech contributes effectively to inclusive economic development.

References

Abdallah, M. A., & Younis, J. A. (2023). Rethinking funding nonprofit organizations through crowdfunding: The use of financial technology. *Asian Business Research*, 8(2). <https://doi.org/10.20849/abr.v8i2.1365>

AL-SLEHAT, Z. A. F. (2023). FinTech and financial inclusion: The mediating role of digital marketing. *Business: Theory and Practice*, 24(1). <https://doi.org/10.3846/btp.2023.17624>

Arora, S. (2024). The role of digital banking in financial inclusion: A review study. *Recent Trends in Management and Commerce*, 5(3). <https://doi.org/10.46632/rmc/5/3/21>

Arshad, A., Gulzar, O., Shahid, O. B., et al. (2024). Exploring the mediating role of financial inclusion in the relationship between economic policy uncertainty and CO2 emissions: A global perspective. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 31, 46965–46978. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-024-33954-y>

Babar, H. (2023). Fintech – a solution for financial inclusion and women’s economic empowerment? *Qeios*. <https://doi.org/10.32388/AF1EU0>

Butar Butar, M. D., Wijaya, S. M., Suciani, L. P. E., Cahyadi, J., Phelps, A. C., Sumarlie, D., Antariksa, D., & Mulyawan, B. (2022). The impact of the pandemic on the development of the fintech industry in Indonesia. *Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research*, 655.

Chang, H.-H., Lu, M.-T., Huang, L.-H., Ling, H. F., & Yen, Y.-C. (2024). Assessing the diffusion of fintech innovation in financial industry: Using the rough MCDM model. *Technological and Economic Development of Economy*, 30(1). <https://doi.org/10.3846/tede.2024.20260>

Chen, Q. Fintech innovation in micro and small business financing. *International Journal of Global Economics and Management*.

Gulzar, O., Arshad, A., & Shahid, O. B. (2025). Fostering resilience: Exploring financial inclusion as a catalyst in mitigating economic policy uncertainty and safeguarding food security in developing countries. *Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal*, 16(6), 1560–1588. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SAMPJ-08-2023-0591>

Gumilar, D. W. A., Sangka, K. B., & Totalia, S. A. (2024). Digital financial literacy and digital financial inclusion in the era of digital disruption: Systematic literature review. *Formosa Journal of Multidisciplinary Research*, 3(5). <https://doi.org/10.55927/fjmr.v3i5.9213>

He, Q., & Xue, Y. (2023). Research on the influence of digital finance on the economic efficiency of energy industry in the background of artificial intelligence. *Scientific Reports*, 13(14984). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-42309-5>

Irimia-Diéguez, A., Velicia-Martín, F., & Aguayo-Camacho, M. (2023). Predicting fintech innovation adoption: The mediator role of social norms and attitudes. *Financial Innovation*, 9(36). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40854-022-00434-6>



Advance Journal of Econometrics and Finance

Vol-4, Issue-2, 2026

- Javed, A., Hussain, S., & Shahid, O. B. (2026). Fintech expansion and financial inclusion: Evidence from Pakistan. *Contemporary Journal of Social Science Review*, 4(2), 264–276. <https://doi.org/10.63878/cjssr.v4i2.2360>
- Khairunnisa, H., Huda, N. A., & AW, J. (2023). The effect of financial inclusion, financial literacy on sharia fintech acceptance in SMEs using the technology acceptance model (TAM) in Medan City. *ICIESC 2023*. <https://doi.org/10.4108/eai.24-10-2023.2342369>
- Kharlamova, G., Stavytskyy, A., & Zarotiadis, G. (2018). The impact of technological changes on income inequality: The EU states case study. *Journal of International Studies*, 11(2). <https://doi.org/10.14254/2071-8330.2018/11-2/6>
- Li, C., Zhang, Y., & Yu, H. (2024). Digitalization and the “too big to fail” dilemma: Mechanisms and asymmetric effects of banks’ fintech innovation on total factor productivity. *Technological and Economic Development of Economy*, 30(2). <https://doi.org/10.3846/tede.2024.21299>
- Meher, B. K., Bărbăcioru, I. C., Anand, A., Filip, R. D., Birau, R., & Lupu, G. A. M. (2024). Exploring the factors influencing hesitation among textile industry weavers in adopting digital banking services in India. *Industria Textila*, 75(2). <https://doi.org/10.35530/IT.075.02.202361>
- Naser, H., Sultanova, G., & Nahar, S. (2024). The impact of fintech innovation on bank’s performance: Evidence from the Kingdom of Bahrain. *International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues*, 14(1). <https://doi.org/10.32479/ijefi.15512>
- Ni, Z. (2023). Corporate ESG investment: Factors affecting the economic sustainability. *Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Economic Management and Green Development*. <https://doi.org/10.54254/2754-1169/39/20231971>
- Omodero, C. O. (2021). Fintech innovation in the financial sector: Influence of e-money products on a growing economy. *Studia Universitatis “Vasile Goldis” Arad. Economics Series*, 31(4). <https://doi.org/10.2478/sues-2021-0018>
- Osama Bin Shahid. (2025). Fin Tech Innovation and Sustainable Supply Chains: Leveraging digital finance innovation for ESG-based procurement, supplier risk assessment, and environmental performance monitoring. *ACADEMIA International Journal for Social Sciences*, 4(2), 177–188. <https://doi.org/10.63056/ACAD.004.02.0166>
- Osama Bin Shahid. (2025). Risk and capital structure: Evidence from Sharia’h and Non-Sharia’h firms. *ACADEMIA International Journal for Social Sciences*, 4(1), 933–947. <https://doi.org/10.63056/ACAD.004.01.0144>
- Osei, L. K., Cherkasova, Y., & Oware, K. M. (2023). Unlocking the full potential of digital transformation in banking: A bibliometric review and emerging trend. *Future Business Journal*, 9(1), 30. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s43093-023-00207-2>
- Panda, S., & Priya, A. (2024). Role of fintech in financial inclusion in India. *Journal of Informatics Education and Research*, 4(3).
- Paslari, A. (2023). Impact analysis of the factors influencing the development of the alternative finance market in Moldova. *Financial Internet Quarterly*, 19(2). <https://doi.org/10.2478/fiqf-2023-0014>
- Raihan, A. (2023). Nexus between economic growth, natural resources rents, trade globalization, financial development, and carbon emissions toward environmental sustainability in Uruguay. *Electronic Journal of Education, Social Economics and Technology*, 4(2). <https://doi.org/10.33122/ejeset.v4i2.102>
- Saluja, O. B., Singh, P., & Kumar, H. (2023). Barriers and interventions on the way to empower women through financial inclusion: A 2 decades systematic review (2000–2020). *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 10(148). <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-023-01640-y>
- Sandoval-Gomez, R. J., Alvarez-Cedillo, J. A., Castellanos-Sanchez, E. I., Alvarez-Sanchez, T., & Perez-Garcia, R. (2023). Development of a technological innovation and social entrepreneurship training program to generate services in a Mexican public entity. *Eastern-European Journal of Enterprise Technologies*, 6(13), 126. <https://doi.org/10.15587/1729-4061.2023.289753>
- Senyo, P. K., & Osabutey, E. L. C. Unearthing antecedents to financial inclusion through FinTech innovations.
- Shabbir, J., Perveen, S., Dad, A. M., Rehman, S. U., & Ness, S. (2024). Analyzing the influence of digital currency adoption on traditional banking systems through data analytics: A study on emerging economies. *The Asian Bulletin of Big Data Management*, 4(1). <https://doi.org/10.62019/abbdm.v4i01.97>
- Singh, A. K., Ashraf, S. N., & Sharma, S. K. (2023). Farmer’s perception on climatic factors and socio-economic characteristics in the agricultural sector of Gujarat. *Research on World Agricultural Economy*, 4(1). <https://doi.org/10.36956/rwae.v4i1.788>
- Suárez Barcia, L. (2023). FinTech and financial inclusion: Analysis from a financial dignity approach. *Estudios Socio-Jurídicos*, 25(2). <https://doi.org/10.12804/revistas.urosario.edu.co/sociojuridicos/a.12356>



Advance Journal of Econometrics and Finance

Vol-4, Issue-2, 2026

- Sundaram, A. (2012). Impact of self-help group in socio-economic development of India. *IOSR Journal of Humanities and Social Science*, 5(1).
- Svitlana, K., & Oksana, R. (2022). The impact of the economy digitalization on the development of the accounting multi-paradigm for the purposes of financial analysis. *Technology Audit and Production Reserves*, 3(4). <https://doi.org/10.15587/2706-5448.2022.261323>
- Thanapongporn, A., Saengchote, K., & Gowanit, C. (2024). The new service development process of green FinTech innovation: A multi-case study. *International Journal of Advanced and Applied Sciences*, 11(7). <https://doi.org/10.21833/ijaas.2024.07.012>
- Treu, J., Elss, V. I., Buono, G., & Winkler, P. (2021). The rising of fintech – how the tech revolution in financial services represents a paradigm shift. *Journal of International Business and Management*, 4(5). <https://doi.org/10.37227/JIBM-2021-03-718>
- Wang, P., Zhang, Z., Zeng, Y., Yang, S., & Tang, X. (2021). The effect of technology innovation on corporate sustainability in Chinese renewable energy companies. *Frontiers in Energy Research*, 9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fenrg.2021.638459>
- Wang, X., Fan, M., Fan, Y., Li, Y., & Tang, X. (2022). R&D investment, financing constraints and corporate financial performance: Empirical evidence from China. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, 10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2022.1056672>
- Wicaksana, D. Y., Dewi, H. S. C. P., & Erta. (2023). Fintech for SDGs: Driving economic development through financial innovation. *Journal of Digital Business and Innovation Management*, 2(2). <https://doi.org/10.1234/jdbim.v2i2.57960>
- Yang, H. (2024). Advancing sustainable development through financial innovation and investment. *The Frontiers of Society, Science and Technology*, 6(1). <https://doi.org/10.25236/FSST.2024.0601>
- Yang, Q., Zhao, K., Cao, L., Wang, X., Guo, Q., & Liu, X. (2023). Research on the efficiency evaluation of the integration of technology and finance in China's strategic emerging industries. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1103594>
- Yulianto, A., Siswanti, I., Risman, A., & Sihombing, P. (2024). The influence of sharia financial literacy and sharia financial inclusion through Islamic fintech on sharia financial transactions on BUS in Indonesia. *Journal of Management and Economic Studies*, 6(1). <https://doi.org/10.26677/TR1010.2024.1379>
- Yuryeva, I. A. (2023). Digital support of the organisation and methodology of the tax audits as a factor of the financial security. *Visnyk NTU "KhPI" Economic Sciences*, 28(5). <https://doi.org/10.20998/2519-4461.2023.5.28>